



INSTITUTIONEN FÖR EKONOMI OCH SAMHÄLLE

KGA106 Sustainable Landscapes - Interactions between environment and human activities, 7,5 högskolepoäng

Sustainable Landscapes - Interactions between environment and human activities, 7.5 credits

Avancerad nivå / Second Cycle

Litteraturlista för KGA106, gällande från och med höstterminen 2019

Litteraturlistan är fastställd av Institutionen för ekonomi och samhälle 2019-06-10 att gälla från och med 2019-09-02.

Se bilaga.



GÖTEBORGS UNIVERSITET
HANDELSHÖGSKOLAN

Reading list

KGA106 Sustainable Landscapes - Interactions between environment and human activities – 7.5 hec

Autumn 2019

Obligatory texts

Antrop, M. (2006). Sustainable landscapes: contradiction, fiction or or utopia? *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 75: 187-197.

ESF (2010). Landscape in a changing world. Bridging divides, integrating disciplines, serving society. *Science policy briefing 41*.

Hägerstrand, T. (2001). A look at the political geography of environmental management. In Buttimer (ed). *Sustainable landscapes and lifeways: scale and appropriateness*. Cork University Press. pp. 35–58.

Ingold, T. (1993). The temporality of the landscape. *World Archaeology*, 25, 24–174.

Reference literature

You should select at least four of the following texts for your individual assignment

Overarching literature

Howard, P, Thompson, I. & Waterton, E. (2012). *The Routledge Companion to Landscape Studies*. London, Routledge.

Miljömålsberedningen (2014). *Med miljömålen i fokus - hållbar användning av mark och vatten* (SOU 2014:50). Stockholm: Miljö- och energidepartementet

Millennium Ecosystem Assessment. (2005). *Ecosystems and human wellbeing. General synthesis*. Island Press: Washington. www.MAweb.org .

O'Brien K (2011). Responding to environmental change: A new age for human geography?, *Progress in Human Geography* 35(4): 542–549.

Plieninger, T. & Bieling, C. [Eds] (2012). *Resilience and the Cultural Landscape: Understanding and Managing Change in Human-shaped Environments*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Stenseke, M. (2016). Integrated landscape management and the complicating issue of temporality. *Landscape research*, 41: 199-211.

On concepts

Castree, N. (2014). *Making Sense of Nature*. Oxford: Routledge.

Cooke, B., S. West, W.J. Boonstra. (2016). Dwelling in the biosphere: exploring an embodied human– environment connection in resilience thinking. *Sustainability Science* 11(3): 1-13.

Ernstson, H. (2013). The social production of ecosystem services: A framework for studying environmental justice and ecological complexity in urbanized landscapes. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 109(1), 7-17.

Fischer, J., Gardner, T., Bennett, E. et al. (2015). Advancing sustainability through mainstreaming a social–ecological systems perspective, *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 14: 144-149,

Harden CP,. (2012). Framing and Reframing Questions of Human–Environment Interactions. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, 102:737-747.

Head, L. (2010). Cultural ecology: adaptation – retrofitting a concept? *Progress in Human Geography* 34: 234-242.

Raymond C M., Singh G G., Benessaiah K, Bernhardt JR., Levine J, Nelson H, Turner NJ, Norton B, Tam J, and Chan KMA.(2013). Ecosystem Services and Beyond: Using Multiple Metaphors to Understand Human-Environment Relationships. *BioScience*, 63 (7, pp. 536-546)

Ostrom, E. (2009). A general framework for analysing sustainability of social ecological systems. *Science*, 325: 419-422.

Sandell, K,. (2016). Ecostrategies: Presentation and Elaboration of a Conceptual Framework of Landscape Perspectives. *Tourism*. Vol. 64, Issue 1, pp. 63-80.

Setten G, Stenseke M & Moen J. (2012). Ecosystem services and landscape management: three challenges and one plea. *International Journal of Biodiversity Science, Ecosystem Services & Management*. 8: 305-312.

Turner, M.D. (2016). Political Ecology III: The commons and commoning. *Progress in Human Geography*, 41 (6). doi:10.1177/0309132516664433.

Scales for sustainability - local to global and vice versa

Ernstson, H & Sörlin, S. (2013). Ecosystem services as technology of globalization: On articulating values in urban nature, *Ecological Economics*: 274-284.

Hornborg, A. (2009). Zero-Sum World: Challenges in Conceptualizing Environmental Load Displacement and Ecologically Unequal Exchange in the World-System. *International Journal of Comparative Sociology* 50:237-262.

Climate change

Adger, W.N., Arnett, N., Tompkins, E. (2005), Successful adaptation to climate change across scales. *Global Environmental Change* 15, 77–86.

Brace, C. & Geoghegan, H. (2011). Human geographies of climate change: landscape, temporality and lay knowledges. *Progress in Human Geography*, 35, 284-302.

Hulme, M. (2008). Geographical work at the boundaries of climate change. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers* 33, 5-11.

Power, Justice, Participation

Bulkeley, H. (2005). Reconfiguring environmental governance: Towards a politics of scales and networks. *Political Geography* 24, 875-902.

Gibson-Graham, J.K. (2008). Diverse economies: performative practices for 'other worlds'. *Progress in Human Geography* 32, 613-632.

Jones M & Stenseke M. [Eds] (2011). *The European Landscape Convention. Challenges of Participation*. Dordrecht: Springer.

Olwig, K. R. (2007). The Practice of Landscape 'Conventions' and the Just Landscape: The Case of the European Landscape Convention. *Landscape Research* 32(5): 579 – 594.

Biodiversity

Berkes, F. and Davidson-Hunt, I.J. (2006). Biodiversity, traditional management systems, and cultural landscapes: examples from the boreal forest of Canada. *International Social Science Journal* 187: 35-47.

Díaz, S., Demissew, S., Carabias, J. et al. (2015). The IPBES Conceptual Framework — connecting nature and people, *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 14: 1-16.

Gaston, K.J. (2005). Biodiversity and extinction: species and people. *Progress in Physical Geography* 29, 239-47.

Stenseke M. (2006). Biodiversity and the local context. Linking seminatural grassland and their future use to social aspects. *Environmental Science & Policy* 9, pp 350-359.